

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/509,681	03/30/2000	Hans Berg Andreasen		3146
7590 05/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn			OH, SIMON J	
MacPeak & Seas				
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/509,681	ANDREASEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Simon J. Oh	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>03 March 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u		·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the priori	uments have been received. uments have been received in A re priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's request for continued examination, petition for extension of time, amendment, response, terminal disclaimer, and information disclosure statement, all received on 03 March 2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03 March 2004 has been received in the application. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 31-33 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112, second paragraph, is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Usher et al. is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,681

Art Unit: 1615

The rejection of Claims 8, 14, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Usher *et al.* and Mioduszewski *et al.* is maintained.

Double Patenting

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 9, 11, 16, 17, and 19-23 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 17-24, and 26 in Application No. 10/300,032 is withdrawn in view of the abandonment of the '032 application.

The provisional rejection of Claims 1-8, 10, 12-15, and 18 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 18-23 and 25 in co-pending Application No. 10/300,032 is withdrawn in view of the abandonment of the '032 application.

The rejection of Claims 1-16 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,440 is withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer filed 03 March 2004.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03 March 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's arguments concerning the prior art rejections of record, it is the position of the examiner that the applicant's arguments require a narrow interpretation of both

Application/Control Number: 09/509,681

Art Unit: 1615

the claims and the prior art. It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art, giving both the prior art and the claims in their present form their broadest reasonable interpretation, would find the claimed invention obvious in view of the prior art. See MPEP § 2111 and 2123.

The applicant has mentioned a signed statement by a Professor Klaus Bock in order to set forth a more persuasive argument. However, no such record of a statement currently exists in the case. As the statement is absent from the case, the examiner will reiterate the position previously set forth that the methods of the prior art sufficiently read on the instantly claimed invention, and that one of ordinary skill in the art, guided by the disclosure of the prior art, would be able to arrive at the present invention with a reasonable expectation of success.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/509,681

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Simon J. Oh Examiner Art Unit 1615

sjo

